

REMARKS

In the Office Action the Examiner required restriction between Group I comprising Claims 1-15 and 30, Group II comprising Claims 16-19 and 31, and Group III comprising Claims 20-29. Applicant hereby elects Group II directed to Claims 16-19 and 31. However, applicant also wishes to amend Claim 20 such that it depends from Claim 16 and Claim 30 such that it also depends from Claim 16. It is applicant's desire that the Examiner review the election/restriction requirement and examine Claims 16-31 which are drawn to a yarn and a method of making that specific yarn. The reason the Examiner gave in the Office Action for not grouping Group II claims with Group III claims is "the method of Group III does not produce yarn of Group II". With the Amendments to Claims 20, 30 and 31, it is submitted that the method claims now depend from the yarn claims of Claim 16, thereby making the method claims produce the yarn of Group II.

In summary, applicant elects the Group II Claims 16-29 and 31, but asks the Examiner to review the Amendments made to Claims 20, 30 and 31 to desirably conclude that with the Amendments Group II may also be included, totaling Claims 16-31.

If the Examiner has any comments or wishes to contact the undersigned, please do not hesitate to do so.

Respectfully submitted,



Gregory A. Clements

Registration No. 30,713

DOUGHERTY, CLEMENTS, HOFER, BERNARD & WALKER

1901 Roxborough Road, Suite 300

Charlotte, North Carolina 28211

Telephone: (704) 366-6642

Facsimile: (704) 366-9744

GNC/nb

F:\wpnet\kosa\patent\02-047ART\responsetoOA7-21-05